

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/783,180 | 02/20/2004 | Franz Wier | TRW(REPA)6956 | 6189 |
| 7590 04/07/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| TAROLLI, SU | NDHEIM, COVELL, | KIM, SANG K | | |
| TUMMINO & S | SZABO L.L.P. | | | |
| 1111 LEADER BLDG. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 526 SUPERIOR AVENUE | | | 3654 | |
| CLEVELAND, OH 44114-1400 | | | DATE MAIL ED: 04/07/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/783,180 | WIER, FRANZ | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | SANG KIM | 3654 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply | cation appears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communion. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 or If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reunication. b) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | , | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) file | d on . | | | | | |
| , , | 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition to | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 8-11 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict | re withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Applicátion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | a) accepted or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any object | tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies | documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | pplication No received in this National Stage | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' | | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/10 and 6/10/04</u> . | | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 3654

Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 20, "(is" should be –is--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 is indefinite and vague. What constitutes as a profiled part? Any structural element has a shape; therefore it has a profiled part or feature.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Specht et al., U.S. Patent No. 6155512.

Specht '512 shows a belt retractor comprising: a tensioning device having a housing (1), a cylinder (30), a piston (3) with a tooth rack section (11) and a pinion (4),

Art Unit: 3654

wherein said tooth rack section (11) comprises at least one segment which is detachably attached (14) to said piston (3), see figure 1.

In so far as claim 4 is understood, the element 11 is considered to comprise "a profiled part".

With respect to claim 10, pinion 4 includes more than seven teeth.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Specht et al., U.S. Patent No. 6155512

Specht '512 does not show the tooth rack section with several segments or the pinion made in one piece with a belt reel.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the rack and divide into several segments, or make the pinion into one piece with a belt reel since it has been held that mere duplication, or reducing numbers of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. Making the pinion into one piece with a belt reel will reduce component parts which saves manufacturing cost; dividing the rack section into several segments saves

Art Unit: 3654

replacement cost by not having to replace the whole rack section when only one tooth brakes off from the rack.

Claims 2-3, 8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Specht et al., U.S. Patent No. 6155512, in view of Wier, U.S. Patent No. 6241173 B1.

Spect '512 shows the rack is produced as precision punched steel parts but does not explain how other parts are manufactured, see column 3, lines 26-28.

Wier '173 explains in the belt retractor how different materials are used such as a diecast part for the pawl, see column 2, lines 28-38.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make piston, pinion, housing, or any other element manufactured by diecasting as taught by Wier '173 since diecasting parts provide an extremely sturdy material and weight to the seat belt.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3654

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SANG KIM whose telephone number is 571-272-6947. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. alternating Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki, can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SK

4/2/05

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600